



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,359	07/11/2001	Ulrich Laemmli	62574-A/JPW/GJG	9566
7590	05/18/2004			EXAMINER
Gary J. Gershik Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			JOHANNSEN, DIANA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/903,359	LAEMMLI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Diana B. Johannsen	1634

All Participants:

(1) Diana B. Johannsen. (3) _____.

(2) Gary J. Gershik. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2004

Time: 9:40 am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 Diana B. Johannsen
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 N/A
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative to inform him that the application had been transferred to her, and that prosecution of the application was being re-opened. Accordingly, the next Office action will be non-final. No further action on the part of applicant is required at this time. .